1 2 3 4 5	Chris Scott Graham (State Bar No. 114498) chris.scott.graham@dechert.com Andrew Thomases (State Bar No. 177339) andrew.thomases@dechert.com DECHERT LLP 1117 California Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94304 Telephone: 650.813.4800 Facsimile: 650.813.4848	
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant, ADVANCED ANALOGIC TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12	ADVANCED ANALOGIC	Case No. C-06-00735 MMC
13	TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
14	Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant,	ORDER RE: MODIFIED HEARING SCHEDULE
15	V.	
16	LINEAR TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	
17 18	Defendant and Counter-Claimant.	
19	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS	
20		
21	WHEREAS, by Order dated October 5, 2006, this Honorable Court stayed this action	
22	pending the final resolution of the interlocutory appeal and the pending ITC investigation;	
23	WHEREAS, by Order dated October 5, 2006, this Honorable Court directed the parties	
24	to notify the Court at such time as the ITC proceedings are concluded and to file a joint status	
25	conference statement advising the Court by June 1, 2007, of the status of the ITC proceedings	
26	and the appeal to the Federal Circuit;	
27	WHEREAS, the request to file an interlocutory appeal has been denied by the Federal	
28	Circuit;	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE CASE MANAGEMEN	Т

1	WHEREAS, by its Order dated March 4, 2007, the ITC moved the date of the Initial		
2	Determination from March 22, 2007 to May 22, 2007, and the date for the Final Determination		
3	to Sept. 22, 2007;		
4	THEREFORE, the parties respectfully request the Court set a new date for filing a joint		
5	status conference statement and further case management conference on a date after September		
6	22, 2007.		
7			
8	Dated: March 23, 2007 DECHERT LLP		
9	Dayle / Chair South Cashous		
10	By:/s/ Chris Scott Graham Chris Scott Graham		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant, ADVANCED ANALOGIC TECHNOLOGIES, INC.		
12			
13	Dated: March 23, 2007 ROPES & GRAY LLP		
14			
15	By: <u>/s/ Mark D. Rowland</u> Mark D. Rowland		
16	Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Claimant, LINEAR TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION		
17	LINEAR TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION		
18	<u>ORDER</u>		
19	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:		
20	1. No later than October 5, 2007 the parties shall file a joint status		
21	conference statement.		
22	2. The parties shall appear, through their counsel of record, for a further case		
23	management conference on October 12, 2007 at $i\theta:\theta$ a.m.		
24	DATED: March 23, 2007 Marine M. Chesney		
25	Maxile W. Cheshey		
26	United States District Court Judge		
27			
28			